

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Cincer		
10////	09/17/2003 Additional Vision Inventor ATTORNEY	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,516		Maiyuran Konanayakam	3000240-7034692001	4705
7590 10/25/2004 Bingham McCutchen LLP Suite 1800 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			EXAMINER	
			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Summary	10/664,516	KONANAYAKAM ET AL.	/
- Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication	George C Yeung	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.	
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims		7.7, 100 0.0. 210.	
4) □ Claim(s) 1-26, 28-56 and 58-62 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-26, 28-56 and 58-62 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceled applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the option of th	pted or b) objected to by rawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (I * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	nave been received. nave been received in Appli documents have been rec PCT Rule 17 2(a))	cation No eived in this National Stage	
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/03, 1/26/04 & 5/18/04	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
DL-326 (Rev. 1-04)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 33, 35-39, 42, 43, 45-47, 51, 52, 55, 56, 58-60 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reasons:

It is not clear what apparatus structure is intended by the limitation "a meat emulsion" (recited in claim 33), the temperature limitation (recited in claims 35-37, 42, 45 and 46), the time limitation (recited in claims 38 and 43), the limitation "rapid" (recited in claim 39), the limitation "an ambient condition" (recited in claim 47), the flowrate limitation (recited in claims 51, 52, 55 and 56), the product-width limitation (recited in claim 58), the product-length limitation (recited in claim 59), the limitation "being rinsed " (recited in claim 60), and the limitation "steam or hot water" (recited in claim 60). Note that the limitations recited in the above-mentioned claims are method limitations and thus they fail to further limit the subject matter of the previous apparatus claim in terms of positive structure.

Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,516

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 13, 15, 16, 32, 33, 35-39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 58, 59, 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Moule (U.S. Patent No. 4,294,858). Moule shows all the method steps set forth in claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 13, 15 and 16 and all the structural elements set forth in apparatus claims 32, 33, 35-39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 58, 59, 61 and 62 (see especially Figures 1 and 3 and column 3, line 42 through column 5, line 50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5-7, 9-12, 14, 17-26, 28-31, 34, 40, 41, 44, 47, 49-56 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moule (U.S. Patent No. 4,294,858). It is not deemed that the features variously recited in dependent claims 3, 5-7, 9-12, 14, 17-26, 28-31, 34, 40, 41, 44, 47, 49-56 and 60 would define unobvious subject matter over the teaching of Moule in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in these dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of routine optimization or structural design well within the skill of an ordinary artisan in the field of food technology.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Yeung/af October 20, 2004

GEORGE C. YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER